ZONING REVIEW - Bordentown City, NJ



development. Compile findings in a memo, and commit to reducing barriers to PV during next zoning review. PZD-1: Review zoning requirements and remove restrictions that intentionally or unintentionally prohibit PV

statement at the bottom of the page, your community will satisfy PZD-1 and be one step closer to achieving SolSmart outcome of their review. By reading the narrative, reviewing the example code language provided, and signing the assist your community, the national solar experts at SolSmart have conducted an initial review of your community's code that prohibit PV development, and (c) commit to addressing these barriers during the next community zoning review. To to assess possible obstacles (i.e. height restrictions, set-back requirements, etc.) and gaps. Below, please find the This SolSmart prerequisite requires communities to (a) conduct a review of zoning requirements, (b) identify restrictions

sections of the code, even solar's status as by-right is established in the solar ordinance. considerations for the creation of such an ordinance. Solar may still be worth adding to the use tables for each district in the existing As there are no references to solar in the current code, the development of a solar ordinance may be advisable. Below are some

Gaps in current code language

Element	Best Practice	Reviewer Comments	Example(s) from other codes
Intent/purpose	 Many municipalities have inserted language explicitly encouraging solar in the section that lays out the intent and purpose of the solar ordinance. 	 No mention of solar. 	See P.7-8 of DVRPC Renewable Energy Ordinance Framework
Definitions	 Include in the definition of a solar energy system: solar collectors or solar energy devices used for space heating, space cooling, electric generation, and water heating 	 No definitions for solar. 	Massachusetts model solar ordinance

	 Define and distinguish between large- scale or primary use installations and secondary or accessory use installations 			
Use-by-right	 Allow small rooftop and ground mount solar installations in all major zoning districts as a use-by-right (allowed without special review) Many communities identify and allow for solar installations as accessory uses in every district 	• •	Does not appear that solar is prohibited as an accessory use in residential zones, but it would be more supportive to include it is as one of the accessory uses explicitly permitted. The following districts only allow specific accessory uses which do not include solar: LC Local Commercial, HC Highway Commercial, CI Commercial Industrial Permitted as a primary use	Use Tables P. 3 Massachusetts <u>model</u> solar ordinance
Encouraging solar-friendly design	 Many municipalities encourage subdivisions to be laid out in an orientation that would maximize either active solar or passive solar benefits 	•		See P. 12-13 of APA Essential Info Packet-30 ("Solar Orientation and Siting" and "Solar-Ready
	 active solar or passive solar benefits. Some possible ways to encourage solar include waiving permit fees providing. 			Siting and Solar-Ready Homes") See P 2 of APA Solar
	density bonuses, reducing minimum parking requirements, and mandating			Briefing Papers ("Creating Incentives")
Height	 Provide rooffop solar an exemption from 	•	Accessory structure height is 25', which is	P. 7 Massachusetts
	or allowance above building height restrictions Identify a maximum allowed ground mount solar height of 10'-15'			model solar ordinance
Lot coverage	Exempt ground mount solar from lot coverage restrictions that apply to primary buildings	•	N.J.S.A 40:55D-38.1 – Exempts solar panels from calculations of impervious surface or impervious cover	P. 9 Model Zoning for the Regulation of Solar Energy Systems
Accessory use maximum	 Exempt solar from the maximum allowable number of accessory uses 	•	I see no maximum number of accessory uses.	
Setbacks	 Require a setback applicable to fences to ground mount solar, rather than a setback required of buildings, or allow solar an exemption from setback requirements 	•	10' setbacks from rear and side yards is okay, but best practice is the same setback as fences.	P. 7, 8 Model Zoning for the Regulation of Solar Energy Systems
Aesthetic requirements	 Exempt solar from rooftop equipment screening requirements Allow PV installations to be seen from 	• •	I see screening requirements for only HVAC units and vents. I see no other limits on solar PV aesthetics.	P.19 DVRPC Renewable Energy Ordinance Framework
¥	 public roadways Limit screening or aesthetic requirements to historic districts 			Historic districts

Perry, IA Subdivision Regulations	residential developments Section 300-47 A20 N.J.S.A 45:22A-48.2 – "Solar Rights Law" HOAs cannot prohibit solar Any HOA regulations may not increase cost of installation by more	ons	access and rights (e.g. solar easement for installations) Include active and passive solar provisions (such as orientation) in development and subdivision regulations	access/solar rights
Wisconsin State Statute		. ar	 Establish a mechanism to protect solar 	Solar
NREL's Implementing Solar PV Projects on Historic Buildings and in Historic Districts NC Clean Energy Technology Center: Installing Solar Panels on Historic Buildings	I do not see any guidance for historic districts related to solar.	the ords lines	 Municipal code should clearly explain the review process for historic districts. Historic commissions and review boards are encouraged to write design guidelines that support the development of solar energy systems and are sensitive to the historic preservation goals of the Commission. 	Historic district guidance
P. 20-21 Massachusetts model solar ordinance	My understanding is that solar can be installed on existing non-conforming use structures without a special permit.	- or	 Code should exempt rooftop solar or small ground-mounted solar from any special permits that may be required for alterations to a lot or structure that contains a preexisting non-conforming use. 	Preexisting non- conforming uses
P. 38 APA's Integrating Solar Energy into Local Development Regulations	Currently not addressed.	use	 Allow for small ground mount installations as accessory uses and large, primary use installations through a conditional or special use permit 	Ground mount solar
FAA guidance PV at airports	Section 300-23 states: "No use shall be permitted in any district which creates danger to life, limb or property or which emits any objectionable noise, smell, smoke, dust, gas, glare or effluent." This most likely will not pertain to solar, as NJ MLUL states that solar is an inherently beneficial use.	han on	 Do not regulate glare from photovoltaic installations as PV modules use non-reflective glass and are designed to absorb rather than reflect sunlight. PV modules are generally less reflective than windows. Municipalities can defer to the Federal Aviation Administration to regulate potential glare from solar installations on or near airports 	Glare
San Francisco Solar PV System Safety and Fire Ground Procedures LA PV Fire Safety	This should be covered in the 2015 I-codes that NJ has adopted.	<u>a</u> a a	 Limit setback requirements from roof ridges to 3' and 1.5' from valleys and headwalls to allow access Do not restrict rooftop solar based on a percentage of rooftop coverage (These restrictions may be amendments to the International Fire Code or part of the development regulations instead of the zoning code) 	Rooftop fire safety access and setbacks

	E. C. W	0.0.5	impact ii	Regulate based • I on the area or b	
	accessory use solar electricity generation be consumed exclusively on-site), as this is often irrelevant to the impact	 Do not regulate based on the use of the energy generated (e.g. requiring that 	impact of the installation rather than the capacity (kW) as efficiencies and	 Define and regulate solar installations based on the area (e.g. square feet) or 	
	•			•	
NJ, the generating capacity of a system cannot exceed the customer's annual electric needs, so regulating by capacity is not necessary.	power can be produced with the same panel size. Additionally, to be eligible for net metering in	they produce. This allows for more future improvement in panel efficiency, when more	more permissive to control the size of systems with height and setback	Best practice is generally to regulate based on area of impact. In other words, it would be	than 10% of initial installation
			North Carolina Example: Fort Collins,	See p. 19 of <u>Planning</u> and Zoning for Solar in	

To han C Brodowsking Comments (Name) I have read the review above a scheduled for Spring 2020 Signature Comments Comme	impact
Repring 2020, with the goal of a	impact of the installation rather than the capacity (kW) as efficiencies and technologies change over time • Do not regulate based on the use of the energy generated (e.g. requiring that accessory use solar electricity generation be consumed exclusively on-site), as this is often irrelevant to the impact
To have read the review above and commit to discussing these gaps at the next community zoning review, scheduled for Spring 2020, with the goal of addressing them in the code. Signature To have Community State Interest	more permissive to control the size of systems with height and setback requirements rather than how much energy they produce. This allows for more future improvement in panel efficiency, when more power can be produced with the same panel size. Additionally, to be eligible for net metering in NJ, the generating capacity of a system cannot exceed the customer's annual electric needs, so regulating by capacity is not necessary.
$\frac{den^{4own}}{unity]} \frac{NO}{[State]}$ unity] zoning review,	North Carolina Example: Fort Collins, CO